



**University of
Zurich**^{UZH}

**Zurich Open Repository and
Archive**

University of Zurich
University Library
Strickhofstrasse 39
CH-8057 Zurich
www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2015

Urodynamic investigations in patients with spinal cord injury: should the ice water test follow or precede the standard filling cystometry?

Kozomara, M ; Bellucci, C H S ; Seifert, B ; Kessler, T M ; Mehnert, Ulrich

Abstract: **OBJECTIVES** To evaluate whether the ice water test (IWT) should be performed before or after the standard urodynamic investigation (UDI). **PATIENTS AND METHODS** Two cohorts of patients suffering from neurogenic lower urinary tract dysfunction (NLUTD) due to spinal cord injury (SCI) were matched by lesion level and age. The patients of cohort A (n=55, retrospective cohort) underwent the IWT before and the patients of cohort B (n=110, prospective cohort) after standard UDI. The IWT effect on urodynamic parameters has been compared between the two groups using the Mann-Whitney U-test for independent samples. UDI was performed according to good urodynamic practices recommended by the International Continence Society. **RESULTS** The mean age of both cohorts was 49 years. Performing the IWT before versus after standard UDI resulted in a significantly lower maximum cystometric bladder capacity ($P=0.01$), lower incidence of detrusor overactivity ($P=0.017$) and lower maximum detrusor pressure during IWT ($P=0.04$). All other urodynamic parameters assessed demonstrated no significant difference ($P>0.05$). **CONCLUSIONS** Our results are in line with findings from animal studies demonstrating a bladder cooling-induced gating effect on the micturition reflex volume threshold on the level of sacral interneurons. Since the IWT is an unphysiological investigation that might significantly bias subsequent urodynamics, we suggest that the IWT should not precede more physiological standard UDI. Spinal Cord advance online publication, 22 September 2015; doi:10.1038/sc.2015.152.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/sc.2015.152>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-113638>

Journal Article

Accepted Version

Originally published at:

Kozomara, M; Bellucci, C H S; Seifert, B; Kessler, T M; Mehnert, Ulrich (2015). Urodynamic investigations in patients with spinal cord injury: should the ice water test follow or precede the standard filling cystometry? *Spinal Cord*, 53(11):800-802.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/sc.2015.152>

Urodynamic investigations in patients with spinal cord injury: Should the ice water test follow or precede the standard filling cystometry?

Urodynamics in spinal cord injury: timing of ice water test

Marko Kozomara, MD¹; Carlos H. Suzuki Bellucci, MD¹; Burkhardt Seifert, PhD²;
Thomas M. Kessler, MD¹; Ulrich Mehnert, MD¹

¹*Neuro-Urology, Spinal Cord Injury Center & Research, University of Zürich, Balgrist University Hospital, Zürich, Switzerland*

²*Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Prevention Institute, University of Zürich, Zürich Switzerland*

Corresponding author: Ulrich Mehnert, MD, FEBU

Neuro-Urology

Spinal Cord Injury Centre & Research

University of Zürich

Balgrist University Hospital

Forchstrasse 340

8008 Zürich

Switzerland

Tel.: +41 (0)44 386 37 21

Fax: +41 (0)44 386 37 31

ulrich.mehnert@paralab.balgrist.ch

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To evaluate whether the ice water test (IWT) should be performed before or after the standard urodynamic investigation (UDI).

Patients & Methods: Two cohorts of patients suffering from neurogenic lower urinary tract dysfunction (NLUTD) due to spinal cord injury (SCI) were matched by lesion level and age. The patients of cohort A (n = 55, retrospective cohort) underwent the IWT before and the patients of cohort B (n = 110, prospective cohort) after standard UDI. The IWT effect on urodynamic parameters has been compared between the two groups using the Mann-Whitney-U test for independent samples. UDI was performed according to Good Urodynamic Practices recommended by the International Continence Society.

Results: The mean age of both cohorts was 49 years. Performing the IWT before versus after standard UDI resulted in a significantly lower maximum cystometric bladder capacity ($p=0.01$), lower incidence of detrusor overactivity ($p=0.017$) and lower maximum detrusor pressure during IWT ($p=0.04$). All other urodynamic parameters assessed demonstrated no significant difference ($p>0.05$).

Conclusions: Our results are in line with findings from animal studies demonstrating a bladder cooling induced gating effect on the micturition reflex volume threshold on the level of sacral interneurons. Since the IWT is an unphysiological investigation that might significantly bias subsequent urodynamics, we suggest that the IWT should not precede more physiological standard UDI.

52 **KEY WORDS**

53 lower urinary tract dysfunction; diagnostic tests; urodynamics; ice water test; bladder
54 cooling reflex; spinal cord injury

INTRODUCTION

The bladder cooling reflex is a spinal reflex that can be urodynamically investigated using the ice water test (IWT), i.e. rapid infusion of cold saline into the bladder, which was first described 1957 by Bors and Blinn to differentiate between a lesion of the upper (positive bladder cooling reflex) or lower (negative bladder cooling reflex) motoneuron in spinal cord injury (SCI) patients [1]. Studies in cats indicated that the bladder cooling reflex is a C-fibre mediated lower motoneuron segmental reflex and that unmyelinated C-fibres are associated with specific cold receptors of the transient receptor potential family [2-4]. Clinical investigations and immunohistochemical studies provided evidence that the mechanism of the bladder cooling reflex might be also true for humans [5].

Since C-fibres seem to play a role in the pathomechanism of lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) not only in neurological patients [6-9], the clinical relevance of the IWT might even reach beyond the simple differentiation between an upper and lower motoneuron lesion.

Geirsson et al. elaborated on the use of the IWT in humans and suggested to infuse 100 mL saline $<10^{\circ}\text{C}$ at a speed of 100 to 300 mL/s as standard for optimized bladder cooling reflex assessment and proposed a detrusor contraction in response to bladder cooling of $>30\text{cmH}_2\text{O}$ as positive IWT result [10].

However, in daily urodynamic practice, it is still unclear, if the IWT should be performed before or after the standard urodynamic investigation (UDI) and in how far both investigations affect each other. Thus, we aimed to investigate the outcome of the IWT and its effect on urodynamic parameters when performed before or after standard UDI.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This study was approved by the local ethics committee (Kantonale Ethikkommission Zürich) and conducted at the Spinal Cord Injury Centre, Balgrist University Hospital, Zürich, Switzerland.

The study comprised two independent cohorts: Cohort A (retrospective data, IWT before UDI): Urodynamic data from SCI patients with neurogenic lower urinary tract dysfunction (NLUTD) investigated at our center from January 2001 until September 2010 were reviewed. During this time period the IWT was exclusively performed prior to the standard UDI.

Cohort B (prospective data, IWT after UDI): From October 2010 to June 2012, SCI patients with NLUTD undergoing standard UDI and IWT were eligible for study participation. To be included, patients had to provide written informed consent. In this study part, the IWT was exclusively performed after standard UDI.

Exclusion criteria for both cohorts were current urinary tract infection, pregnancy, intradetrusor onabotulinumtoxinA injections within the last year before UDI and patient age < 18 years old.

In cohort B, 242 patients could be prospectively enrolled and were matched by lesion level and age with 57 patients of cohort A. Propensity score matching resulted in 110 patients in cohort B and 55 patients in cohort A.

All methods, definitions, terms, and units used in this study are in accordance with the standards recommended by the International Continence Society [11].

Urodynamic investigation (UDI)

UDIs were done in accordance to good urodynamic practices recommended by the International Continence Society [12]. A multichannel urodynamic system (Sedia®, Givisiez, Switzerland) was applied for all measurements. Pelvic floor

electromyographical data were recorded via two perineal surface electrodes. Filling sensations were documented when applicable. Standard UDI was performed using body warm saline and a filling speed of 20-30 mL/min. The IWT was conducted with 4°C saline and a filling speed of 100 mL/min.

Outcome measures

From storage phase of standard UDI: Maximum cystometric bladder capacity (mL), bladder volume at first detrusor overactivity (mL), incidence of detrusor overactivity (%), maximum detrusor pressure (cmH₂O), and bladder compliance during (Δ mL/ Δ cmH₂O).

From IWT: maximum detrusor pressure (cmH₂O).

Statistical analyses

Data were approximately normally distributed and are shown as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). To limit the observational character of the study we analyzed a propensity matched sample. For the computation of the propensity score, age and lesion level were included into a logistic regression with the IWT performed before or after the standard UDI as dependent variable. The validity of logistic regression was assessed using the Hosmer-Lemeshow test. Propensity matching was performed using the package Matching in R [13]. Cohort A and B were matched with a proportion of 1:2. Patients of cohort A and B were compared using the Mann-Whitney-U test. Statistical analyses were performed applying IBM® SPSS® version 22 (IBM® Armonk, USA). A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

The patients' characteristics of cohort A and B are shown in table 1.

Table 2 summarizes the outcome parameters of both cohorts. Performing the IWT before versus after standard UDI resulted in a significantly lower maximum detrusor pressure during IWT ($p=0.04$) and a lower maximum cystometric bladder capacity ($p=0.01$), lower incidence of detrusor overactivity ($p=0.017$) during subsequent standard UDI and lower maximum detrusor pressure during IWT ($p=0.04$). All other urodynamic parameters assessed demonstrated no significant difference ($p>0.05$).

DISCUSSION

Our current findings suggest that performing the IWT prior to the standard UDI has effects on the outcome of the UDI, namely a decrease in maximum cystometric bladder capacity and bladder volume at first detrusor overactivity. Jiang CH et al. assumed based on findings from animal studies with cats that the dynamic cooling reflex is a C-fibre mediated reaction evoked by rapid cooling of the bladder, which leads to a reflex discharge in bladder preganglionic neurons [14, 15]. Thereafter the cold evoked efferent activity persists for several tens of seconds and leads to a reduction of the threshold volume of the A-delta mechanoreceptor driven micturition reflex [14, 15]. In line with the observations of Jiang et al. we found a reduced volume threshold for detrusor overactivity but no changes in compliance or maximum detrusor pressure, indicating that the A-delta mechanoreceptor driven micturition reflex itself remains unchanged but is gated at a lower threshold volume [15].

Jiang et al. suggested that this gating of the micturition reflex occurs rather on a spinal level through preganglionic interneurons than via the pontine micturition center (PMC) due to the observation that bladder C- and A-delta fibre mediated reflexes are modulated in parallel by a spinal enkephalinergic recurrent inhibitory mechanism [15].

180 This recurrent inhibition, which has been demonstrated to originate from axon
181 collaterals of bladder preganglionic neurons [16, 17], is supposed to act at spinal
182 interneurons prior to the preganglionic lower urinary tract (LUT) neurons [15, 18].

183
184 The PMC in contrast induces the micturition reflex via one long descending pathway
185 to the sacral spinal cord where the reflex is further mediated by segmental
186 interneurons as an all-or-none response [19]. Only the latter reflex enhanced
187 component but not the descending component from the PMC has been
188 demonstrated to be susceptible to modulation by recurrent inhibition [15]. This would
189 also explain why the bladder cooling induced modulation of the micturition reflex can
190 be also observed in our SCI study population.

191 Van Meel et al. observed similar effects during repeated IWT with an increase of
192 positive IWT results with each repetition [20]. Although repeated IWT in conjunction
193 with LUT current perception threshold measurement can be helpful to identify
194 unsuspected neurological pathologies in patients with assumed idiopathic LUTS [20],
195 the IWT still remains an unphysiological stimulation that can significantly bias
196 subsequent investigations such as standard UDI. Since the standard UDI aims to
197 reflect the daily LUT function as close and physiological as possible, we recommend
198 - based on our findings - to perform the IWT after the standard UDI to obtain
199 unbiased and consequently comparable UDI results. If in patients with NLUTD
200 repeated standard UDIs as recommended previously [21] do not reveal any findings
201 correlating with the daily symptoms, an IWT might be used as provocation test to
202 reveal masked pathologies such as detrusor overactivity but after the standard UDI.

203
204 Considering that the human bladder seems to be innervated by A-delta and C-fibres
205 only [22], urodynamic testing of both fibre types offers the possibility to more

comprehensively assess the underlying pathology of the clinical symptoms. This might be especially relevant since cold sensitive transient receptor potential channels, i.e. TRPM8, and cold sensitive C-fibers have been specifically associated with lower urinary tract dysfunction [7, 22, 23].

Due to their high intravesical pressure thresholds, C-fibers are in contrast to Ad-fibers considered less relevant for normal, gradual development of bladder sensations such as first sensation of filling, first desire to void and strong desire to void [22]. However, C-fiber mechanosensitivity might change in response to chemical or thermal stimuli or alterations in neuronal control such as spinal cord injury [24]. These nociceptive properties of C-fibers might be related to the finding that they express various receptors including those of the transient receptor potential family [22]. In conjunction with their abundant distribution within the LUT which accounts for approximately two thirds of bladder afferent neurons in rats [25], C-fibers represent a relevant sensory component of the LUT that, in case of aberrant functioning, might be accounted for several irritative symptoms of which some are clinically summarized as overactive bladder (OAB) [26]. Hence, assessment of such C-fibers in patients might improve our understanding on LUT symptoms [6, 27].

Although there is a lack of validated standardization on performing and interpreting the IWT, the description by Geirsson et al. [10] and the review by Al-Hayek et al. [28] provides a useful first recipe to perform the IWT. Since the IWT in our study has been performed in the same manner in all patients, a comparison between our cohorts is valid.

There are limitations of this study that should be considered: The study design was not fully prospective, rather one cohort consisted of retrospective data only. The presented results are based on findings in patients with NLUTD due to SCI. Thus, our findings cannot be generalized beyond this group.

In conclusion, this is the first study to evaluate the effect of the IWT on the standard UDI in SCI patients with NLUTD. Since the IWT is a rather unphysiological investigation that might significantly bias subsequent urodynamics, we suggest that the IWT should not precede more physiological standard UDI.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This study was funded by the Swiss Continenence Foundation (www.swisscontinencefoundation.ch) and the Clinical Research Priority Program NeuroRehab of the University of Zürich. The Swiss Continenence Foundation and the Clinical Research Priority Program NeuroRehab of the University of Zürich had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

None of the authors has a conflict of interest related to the submitted work.

REFERENCES

1. Bors, E.H. and K.A. Blinn, *Spinal reflex activity from the vesical mucosa in paraplegic patients*. A.M.A. archives of neurology and psychiatry, 1957. **78**(4): p. 339-54.
2. Raz, S., *Objective assessment of bladder response in ice water test*. The Journal of urology, 1973. **109**(4): p. 603-4.
3. Fall, M., S. Lindstrom, and L. Mazieres, *A bladder-to-bladder cooling reflex in the cat*. The Journal of physiology, 1990. **427**: p. 281-300.

- 258 4. Mazieres, L., C. Jiang, and S. Lindstrom, *The C fibre reflex of the cat urinary bladder*. The
259 Journal of physiology, 1998. **513 (Pt 2)**: p. 531-41.
- 260 5. Geirsson, G., S. Lindstrom, and M. Fall, *The bladder cooling reflex and the use of cooling as*
261 *stimulus to the lower urinary tract*. The Journal of urology, 1999. **162(6)**: p. 1890-6.
- 262 6. Mukerji, G., et al., *Pain during ice water test distinguishes clinical bladder hypersensitivity*
263 *from overactivity disorders*. BMC Urol, 2006. **6**: p. 31.
- 264 7. Mukerji, G., et al., *Cool and menthol receptor TRPM8 in human urinary bladder disorders and*
265 *clinical correlations*. BMC Urol, 2006. **6**: p. 6.
- 266 8. Wyndaele, J.J. and S. De Wachter, *The sensory bladder (1): an update on the different*
267 *sensations described in the lower urinary tract and the physiological mechanisms behind*
268 *them*. Neurourol Urodyn, 2008. **27(4)**: p. 274-8.
- 269 9. Yoshimura, N. and M.B. Chancellor, *Current and future pharmacological treatment for*
270 *overactive bladder*. J Urol, 2002. **168(5)**: p. 1897-913.
- 271 10. Geirsson, G., S. Lindstrom, and M. Fall, *Pressure, volume and infusion speed criteria for the*
272 *ice-water test*. British journal of urology, 1994. **73(5)**: p. 498-503.
- 273 11. Abrams, P., et al., *The standardisation of terminology of lower urinary tract function: report*
274 *from the Standardisation Sub-committee of the International Continence Society*. Neurourol
275 Urodyn, 2002. **21(2)**: p. 167-78.
- 276 12. Schafer, W., et al., *Good urodynamic practices: uroflowmetry, filling cystometry, and*
277 *pressure-flow studies*. Neurourology and urodynamics, 2002. **21(3)**: p. 261-74.
- 278 13. Sekhon, J.S., *Multivariate and Propensity Score Matching Software with Automated Balance*
279 *Optimization: The Matching Package for R*. Journal of Statistical Software, 2011. **42(7)**: p. 1-
280 52.
- 281 14. Jiang, C.H., L. Mazieres, and S. Lindstrom, *Cold- and menthol-sensitive C afferents of cat*
282 *urinary bladder*. J Physiol, 2002. **543(Pt 1)**: p. 211-20.
- 283 15. Jiang, C.H., L. Mazieres, and S. Lindstrom, *Gating of the micturition reflex by tonic activation*
284 *of bladder cold receptors in the cat*. Neurourol Urodyn, 2009. **28(6)**: p. 555-60.
- 285 16. De Groat, W.C. and R.W. Ryall, *Recurrent inhibition in sacral parasympathetic pathways to*
286 *the bladder*. The Journal of physiology, 1968. **196(3)**: p. 579-91.
- 287 17. Morgan, C.W., *Axons of sacral preganglionic neurons in the cat: II. Axon collaterals*. Journal of
288 neurocytology, 2001. **30(9-10)**: p. 767-87.
- 289 18. de Groat, W.C., *Mechanisms underlying recurrent inhibition in the sacral parasympathetic*
290 *outflow to the urinary bladder*. The Journal of physiology, 1976. **257(2)**: p. 503-13.
- 291 19. Michels, L., et al., *Supraspinal Control of Urine Storage and Micturition in Men-An fMRI Study*.
292 Cerebral cortex, 2014.
- 293 20. van Meel, T.D., S. de Wachter, and J.J. Wyndaele, *Repeated ice water tests and electrical*
294 *perception threshold determination to detect a neurologic cause of detrusor overactivity*.
295 Urology, 2007. **70(4)**: p. 772-6.
- 296 21. Bellucci, C.H., et al., *Neurogenic lower urinary tract dysfunction--do we need same session*
297 *repeat urodynamic investigations?* The Journal of urology, 2012. **187(4)**: p. 1318-23.

22. de Groat, W.C. and N. Yoshimura, *Afferent nerve regulation of bladder function in health and disease*. Handb Exp Pharmacol, 2009(194): p. 91-138.
23. de Groat, W.C. and N. Yoshimura, *Changes in afferent activity after spinal cord injury*. Neuroourol Urodyn, 2010. **29**(1): p. 63-76.
24. Fowler, C.J., D. Griffiths, and W.C. de Groat, *The neural control of micturition*. Nat Rev Neurosci, 2008. **9**(6): p. 453-66.
25. Yoshimura, N., et al., *Effects of spinal cord injury on neurofilament immunoreactivity and capsaicin sensitivity in rat dorsal root ganglion neurons innervating the urinary bladder*. Neuroscience, 1998. **83**(2): p. 633-43.
26. Juszczak, K. and P.J. Thor, *The basic neurophysiologic concept of lower urinary tract function--the role of vanilloid TRPV1 receptors of urinary bladder afferent nerve endings*. Adv Clin Exp Med, 2012. **21**(4): p. 417-21.
27. Ismael, S.S., et al., *Bladder cooling reflex in patients with multiple sclerosis*. J Urol, 2000. **164**(4): p. 1280-4.
28. Al-Hayek, S. and P. Abrams, *The 50-year history of the ice water test in urology*. The Journal of urology, 2010. **183**(5): p. 1686-92.

TABLES AND THEIR LEGENDS

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the patients of the two cohorts. Matching of subjects between Cohort A and B was performed using propensity score analysis with a proportion of 1:2.

	Cohort A	Cohort B	
	(= IWT before FC)	(= IWT after FC)	
	mean \pm SD (range)	mean \pm SD (range)	
No. of patients			
(all with NLUTD due to SCI)	55	110	
Age in years, mean \pm SD			
(range)	49 \pm 15 (20 - 85)	49 \pm 15 (20 - 81)	p = 0.966
Gender distribution			
Female, n (%)	25 (45)	19 (17)	p < 0.001
Male, n (%)	30 (55)	91 (83.8)	
Level of lesion			
Cervical, n (%)	17 (33)	38 (34)	p = 0.906
Thoracic, n (%)	35 (67)	75 (66)	

Table 2. Outcome parameters comparing IWT performed before and after standard urodynamic

		Cohort A	Cohort B	
		(= IWT before FC)	(= IWT after FC)	
		mean \pm SD	mean \pm SD	
		(range)	(range)	
maximum cystometric bladder capacity [mL]		444 \pm 158 (40 - 800)	611 \pm 276 (110 - 1400)	p=0.01
bladder volume at first detrusor overactivity [mL]		288 \pm 133 (25 - 600)	360 \pm 190 (35 - 1060)	p=0.059
incidence of detrusor overactivity		71 % (n = 39)	86 % (n = 95)	p=0.017
maximum detrusor pressure during storage phase of standard UDI [cmH ₂ O]		32 \pm 15 (10 - 67)	39 \pm 20 (11 - 99)	p=0.102
maximum detrusor pressure during IWT [cmH ₂ O]		36 \pm 31 (2 - 127)	43 \pm 26 (0 - 116)	p=0.04
bladder compliance [Δ mL/ Δ cmH ₂ O]		46 \pm 26 (13 - 150)	56 \pm 51 (9 - 350)	p=0.597